Case 3:07-cv-03243-JSW Document 17-7 Filed 08/24/2007 Page 1 of 7

## Exhibit 6

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI, JUDGE

## Hearing on:

- Omnibus objection to ISO and Generator claims for reliability must-run service agreement charges as to Mirant Delta, 8875, and Mirant Potrero, 8876.
   CONTINUED TO APRIL 5, 2004 at 1:30 p.m.
- Motion for order allowing administrative claim of Commonwealth Energy Corp.
   CONTINUED TO APRIL 29, 2004 at 1:30 p.m.
- 3. Objection to amended claim of Crystal River Oil & Gas, LLC (Claim No. 13330).
- 4. Debtor's objection to claim of Bank of America (No. 7243).

  CONTINUED TO MARCH 15, 2004 at 1:30 p.m.
- 5. Debtor's objection to claim of Bank of America (No. 7211) and request for allowance and payment of administrative expense claim filed by Bank of America, as administrative agent and as letter of credit issuing bank.

  CONTINUED TO MARCH 15, 2004 at 1:30 p.m.
- 6. Motion by the Port of Stockton to permit filing of late proof of claim.
  CONTINUED TO MARCH 22, 2004 at 1:30 p.m.
- 7. Motion for extension of time to object to certain proofs of claim and for related relief.
- Motion for approval re disputed escrow accounts.
   Hearing matters continued on next page.

Debtor's motion for order approving settlement agreement among the debtor Enron Canada Corp.; Enron Energy Marketing Corp.; Enron Energy Services, Inc.; Enron North America Corp.; and Enron Power Marketing, Inc.

For the Debtor and

Appearances:

Howard, Rice, Nemerovski, Canady,

Debtor-in-Possession:

Falk & Rabkin, P.C.

By: Kimberly A. Bliss,

Gary M. Kaplan,

James L. Lopes, and

Ethan P. Schulman, Attorneys at Law Three Embarcadero Center, Seventh Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4065

(415) 399-3012

For Enron Energy

LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae

Services and Enron

By: Bennett G. Young, Attorney at Law

Energy Marketing Corp.: One Embarcadero Center

San Francisco, California 94111

(415) 951-1180

For Powerex Corp. and

Peitzman, Glassman, Weg & Kempinsky LLP

Portland General

By: Howard J. Weg, Attorney at Law

Electric Company (via

1801 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1225

telephone):

Los Angeles, California 90067

(310) 552-3100

For Mirant Delta and

White & Case

Mirant Potrero, LLC:

By: Roberto J. Kampfner, Attorney

at Law

Three Embarcadero Center, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3162

(415) 544-1100

For Federal Insurance

Duane Morris, LLP

Company (via telephone): By: Lawrence J. Kotler, Attorney at Law

One Liberty Plaza

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-7396

(215) 979-1514

For Midway-Sunset

Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw, LLP

Cogeneration Co.

By: Kimberly Winick, Attorney at Law

(via telephone):

350 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90071-1503

Appearances continued on next page.

Appearances continued:

For Public Utility Jones Day

District #2 of Grant County:

By: Peter McAllen, Attorney at Law 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4600 Los Angeles, California 90013-1025

(213) 489-3939

For Banca Nazionale

Jones Day

del Lavoro, SpA (BNL): By: John W. Edwards II, Attorney at Law

2882 Sand Hill Road, Suite 240 Menlo Park, California 94025

(650) 739-3939

For Creditor Crystal River Oil & Gas:

Timothy P. Kindelan, Attorney at Law

Hacienda Corporate Center

12625 High Bluff Drive, Suite 306 San Diego, California 92130-2054

(858) 259-0115

For Creditor

Kaye Scholer LLP

Reorganized California Power Exchange Corp.:

By: Marc S. Cohen, Attorney at Law 1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, California 90067-6048

(310) 788-1000

Electronic Court

Recorder:

United States Bankruptcy Court

Miriam Huichapan

235 Pine Street, 23rd Floor (94104)

Post Office Box 7341

San Francisco, California 94120

(415) 268-2383

Certified Electronic

Palmer Reporting Services

Transcriber:

P. O. Box 30727 Stockton, California 95213-0727

Proceedings recorded by digital recording;

transcript produced by federally-approved transcription service.

page

- 1 MR. COHEN: In that regard, Your Honor, Marc Cohen
- 2 for the reorganized PX we have our issues with Grant County as
- 3 well and its contention that it has claims against the PX. And
- 4 we want to make sure Mr. Lopes agrees to this we want to
- 5 make sure that this notice motion procedure means that it will
- 6 be on notice to the California Power Exchange as well. And,
- 7 once again, we'll take it upon ourselves to advise all the Class
- 8 6 claimants if Grant County makes such a motion.
- 9 THE COURT: Well, are you Grant County's counsel?
- 10 MR. McALLEN: Yeah. Peter McAllen, Your Honor, for
- 11 Grant County.
- 12 THE COURT: Yes. You just commit on the record that
- 13 if you do take an affirmative step to have the claim allowed
- 14 you'll notice the Cal PX?
- 15 MR. McALLEN: I will confirm that on the record.
- And the main reason that we wanted this paragraph
- included in the order, if I can just add a couple of words to
- 18 what Mr. Lopes said, we do believe we are in a different
- 19 position from all of the other Class 6 claimants.
- We do believe that the FERC has decided that it has no
- 21 jurisdiction over us. We do believe, as we said in our papers,
- 22 that that order is as to that determination final and
- 23 unreviewable. I know that the papers filed by PG&E take issue
- 24 with that.
- 25 THE COURT: No, I understand. I mean it -

- 1 MR. McALLEN: That's an issue that doesn't need to be
- decided here today, but we do expect that if we are unable to
- 3 reach an agreed resolution with all of the interested parties,
- 4 who would be Grant County, the PX, PG&E, and ISO, and come back
- 5 to the Court with a stipulated order that implements an agreed
- 6 resolution, we will be back with the motion.
- 7 THE COURT: As I understand the issue here, you
- 8 contend and the debtor disagrees that FERC had jurisdiction to
- 9 say that it had no jurisdiction over your client.
- MR. McALLEN: Yes. And we -
- 11 THE COURT: And they and -
- 12 MR. McALLEN: We had learned that that was their
- position when we saw their reply brief.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, it's sort of the jurisdiction
- and nonjurisdiction issue, okay. But you've seen the form of
- 16 order and you're satisfied?
- 17 MR. McALLEN: We have seen the form of order and we
- 18 are satisfied.
- 19 THE COURT: And, Mr. Lopes, what about -
- MR. LOPES: Midway-Sunset has also been resolved, Your
- 21 Honor.
- THE COURT: Midway-Sunset, right.
- MS. BLISS: It does, but we have one small
- 24 housekeeping matter, Your Honor. I believe Midway-Sunset's
- counsel is on the line, Ms. Winick.

- 1 MS. WINICK: Yes. This is Kimberly Winick, Mayer,
- 2 Brown, Rowe and Maw on behalf of -
- 3 THE COURT: Ms. Winick, good afternoon.
- 4 MS. BLISS: We've agreed to take care of
- 5 Midway-Sunset's objection by having them withdraw their claim,
- 6 their prepetition claim Number 6738 -
- 7 THE COURT: Right.
- MS. BLISS: right now on the record. And so I just
- 9 wanted -
- 10 THE COURT: Okay, yes.
- 11 MS. BLISS: And then we'll withdraw the motion as to
- 12 Midway-Sunset.
- 13 THE COURT: And then they just line up as a
- 14 postpetition claim.
- MS. BLISS: Well, then they have an administrative
- 16 claim that will be taken care of pursuant to the agreement that
- 17 the parties entered into in November and that Your Honor has -
- 18 THE COURT: Right.
- MS. BLISS: already approved, yes.
- THE COURT: Do you contemplate a separate order that
- 21 memorializes this?
- 22 MS. BLISS: I don't, Your Honor. I think the parties
- 23 are comfortable with the fact that the agreement has already
- 24 been approved by the Court and that once this motion's
- 25 withdrawn -